UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/541,992	07/11/2005	Marco Van Leeuwen	NL030013US1	7993	
	24737 7590 12/14/2007 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3001			KEATON, SHERROD L		
BRIARCLIFF	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2174		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/541,992	VAN LEEUWEN, MARCO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sherrod Keaton	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiled will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	October 2007					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 October 2007</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
<i>,</i>		osecution as to the marits is				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-19 is/are pending in the ap	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-19</u> is/are pending in the application.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement					
are subject to restriction and	, or olddion requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>) (d) = = (6)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
, ,	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
<u> </u>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the filing of 10-2-2007. Claim 2 has been cancelled and Claims 1, 3-19 have been considered below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-16, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peschke (US 6397143 B1).

Claim 1: Peschke discloses a method of creating a view (V) on a computer screen (3), the method comprising the steps of:

the computer (2) receiving a request from a user to create a view, the request comprising a location indication, the location indication comprises a point on the screen indicated by the user (Column 4, lines 67-Column 5, Lines 40). Here a view of a neighborhood or region is requested by clicking on the icon.

Art Unit: 2174

the computer (2) determining, on the basis of the location indication, both a view location and view dimensions, and the computer (2) displaying a view (V) having said view location and said view dimensions (Figure 3; Column 5, Lines 40-57). Here the view and dimension is displayed by showing the indicated neighborhood or region.

Claim 3: <u>Peschke</u> discloses that the view has a center which substantially coincides with the point on the screen indicated by the user. (Figure 3; Column 5, Lines 48-57) Here once the region is selected it is substantially centered to that location or view.

Claim 4: Peschke discloses a method wherein the view dimensions are as large as possible (Column 4, Lines 1-6). The zoom allows the view to be displayed as large as possible.

Claim 5: Peschke discloses a method a method as in claim 1 above, and where the computer provides view activation points (P) on the screen, each view activation point corresponding with a view having predetermined view dimensions (Column 5, Lines 48-57). Here predetermined dimensions are displayed with a selection.

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 6: Peschke discloses a method a method as in claim 5 above, and where the computer provides at least two different types of view activation points one type corresponding with views having a fixed size (Column 5, Lines 40-47). Here views can drill down or be navigated along the same level. The views sizes are fixed the selection of the indicated region.

Claim 7: <u>Peschke</u> discloses a method as in 1 claim above, and further discloses a device programmed to carry out the method (inherent).

Claim 8: Peschke discloses a method as in claim 7 above, and further disclose that the device preferably is a desktop computer, a laptop computer, a palmtop computer, a PDA or an electronic organizer (Column 3, Lines 12-19). Peschke discloses using a mouse, trackball, light pen or touch screen which all are all notoriously related with the disclosed devices. Therefore Official notice is taken a the device could be used with any of the disclosed devices

Claim 9: Peschke discloses a method as in 1 claim above, and further discloses a computer readable medium including a computer program that carries out the method (inherent).

Claim 10: Peschke discloses a record carrier, provided with a software product as claimed in 7 (inherent).

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 11: Peschke discloses a method a method as in claim 1 above, and wherein the

point on the screen comprises a software button (Column 3, Lines 12-19). The Icon is

the software button which can be selected.

Claim 12: Peschke discloses a computer implemented method of presenting a view on

a computer screen, method comprising:

presenting a plurality of view presentation locations on the computer screen; receiving a

request from a user to present a first view presentation location, a dimension of the first

view; presenting the first view at the first view presentation location, wherein the first

view includes the determined first view dimension (Column 4, lines 67-Column 5, Lines

40). Here a view of a neighborhood or region is requested by clicking on the icon.

(Figure 3; Column 5, Lines 40-57). Here the view and dimension is displayed by

showing the indicated neighborhood or region.

Claim 13: Peschke discloses a method a method as in claim 12 above, and wherein the

point on the screen comprises a software button (Column 3, Lines 12-19). The Icon is

the software button which can be selected.

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 14: <u>Peschke</u> discloses a method a method as in claim 12 above, and wherein the view presentation locations are presented in a plurality of rows (Column 5, Lines 60-67). The grid provides the plurality of rows.

Claim 15: <u>Peschke</u> discloses a method a method as in claim 14 above, and wherein the alternating rows are staggered (Figures 1-2C, Column 5, Lines 60-67). The available view of the rows are presented alternating size and within selectable locations.

Claim 16: Peschke discloses a method a method as in claim 12 wherein the first view includes a center and presenting the first view includes positioning the center at a location that substantially coincides with the first view presentation location. (Figure 3; Column 5, Lines 48-57) Here once the region is selected it is substantially centered to that location or view.

Claim 18: Peschke discloses a method a method as in claim 12 including:

Receiving a request from the user to present a second view at a second view presentation location; Determining, on the basis of the location of second view presentation location in relation to an edge of the first view, a dimension of the second view; Presenting the second view presentation location at the second view presentation location, wherein the second view includes the second view dimension. (Column 4,

Art Unit: 2174

second view with its dimension is displayed.

Lines 31-36; Column 5, Line 48-Column 6, Line 22). Here user is allowed additional views and based on the selection from the edge of the first indicated by the arrows the

Claim 19: Peschke discloses a method a method as in claim 12 wherein determining the dimension of the first view includes selecting one of a first predetermined dimension and a second predetermined dimension (Column 5, Lines 41-47). Here a first higher level view is available as well as the drill down to a lower level view.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Peschke (US 6397143 B1).</u>

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 17: Peschke discloses a method a method as in claim 12 but does not explicitly disclose wherein including determining the dimension of the first view on the basis of

the location of the first view presentation location in relation to an edge of the screen.

However Peschke does discloses icons placed in various locations and if the icon was

placed near the edge of the screen the view would determine a dimension as it does for

any other selected icons (Column 4, lines 67-Column 5, Lines 40). Therefore it would

have been obvious to determine a dimension of an icon displayed near the edge of the

screen for viewing for the user. One would have been motivated to alternate dimension

to provide improved visual feedback of the selected location to the user.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claim have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2174

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sherrod Keaton whose telephone number is 571) 270-1697. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. and alternating Fri. off (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, DAVE WILEY can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3800.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SLK

12-7-07

/David A Wiley/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2174